

United States Patent and Trademark Office

gen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,376	09/02/2004	Geoffrey Harding	DE 020040	1184	
24737	7590 04/12/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			YUN, JURIE		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/506,376	HARDING ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jurie Yun	2882				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	ISS			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESERVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ISSIDE OF THE MAILING ISSIDE OF THE MAILING ISSIDE OF THE MAILING ISSIDE OF THE MAILING OF	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprint of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>O2</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	•	erits is			
Dienociti	on of Claims	or Exparto Quayro, 1000 C.D.	11, 100 0.0. 210.				
· _	•	_					
	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	· · · ——						
_							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)🖾 ີ	The drawing(s) filed on 02 September 2004	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examine	er.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			= =			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume 		nlication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•		ine			
	application from the International Bure			90			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	•	eceived.				
Attachment	` `		(DTO 115)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/2/04</u> .		ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/506,376 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it consists of more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,408 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the instant application is anticipated by claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,408 B2 in that claim 1 of the instant application is broader.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,376 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to disclose a device for generating X-rays, characterized in that opposite to the window the constriction is bounded by a wall which tapers relative to the window, seen in an upstream direction opposite to the flow direction, as claimed in claim

2. Claims 3 and 4 are allowable due to their dependency on claim 2.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jurie Yun whose telephone number is 571 272-2497. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on 571 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,376 Page 4

Art Unit: 2882

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jurie Yun

April 5, 2006